

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,722	07/28/2003	Atsushi Watanabe	392.1806	7095
21171	7590 07/29/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			UNDERWOOD, DONALD W	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20005		3652	

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	. Applicant((s)			
Office Action Summary		10/627,722	WATANAB	•			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	Donald Underw					
	The MAILING DATE of this commu			ence address			
Period fo		••	·				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s tre to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory metatutory period will apply and will expiry will, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely filed inimum of thirty (30) days will be conside SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communication. 133).			
Status							
1) 🏹	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>05/02/05</i> .					
,	•	2b)⊠ This action is non-fi	nal.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from conside					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to the oath of the oath	•	= : :				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	or documents have been record documents have been record the priority documents lonal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	ceived. ceived in Application No nave been received in this No. 2(a)).				
Attachmer	it(s)						
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date <u>051705</u> .	r PTO/SB/08) 5) 📮	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Applica Other:	tion (PTO-152)			

Detailed Action

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It is unclear how the command torques are altered in accordance with the type of material, shape or weight of the object.

Applicant's arguments that one would know how to do this has been carefully considered but is not deemed persuasive. At best a reference to a U.S. patent issued before applicant's filing date should be provided.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the newly added phrase "associated with the movable device" renders the claim indefinite since it fails to set forth a positive relationship between the movable device and the detecting means.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,722

Art Unit: 3652

Regarding claim 6, "a controller" in line 2 should be correlated with "compensating means" in claim 1.

Regarding claim 7, this claim has the same defect as claim 1. Also "moving means" in line 12 should be correlated with "control means" in line 6 and movable device in line 9 since both the control means and moving means can move the robot hand and since the second detecting means is associated with the movable device and the moving means can move the second detecting means.

Regarding claim 12, this claim calls for the first and second detecting means to be the first detecting means thus it should positively correlate the first detecting means with the movable device.

Regarding claim 13, the "moving means" and "control means" have the same defects in this claim as noted above for claim 7. Further "compensating means" should be positively correlated with the "moving means" and "control means".

Regarding claim 18, "a controller" should be correlated with "control means", "moving means" and "compensating means" in claim 13.

Regarding claim 19, this claim has the same defect as claim 1. Further it appears the claim is incomplete since the first sensor and second sensor would not perform calculates as set forth in lines 5 and 9.

Regarding claim 20, this claim has the same defects as claim 19. In addition "movement mechanism" in line 7 and "a second robot" in line 10 should be correlated to define an operative device.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,722 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

Regarding claim 21, the phrase "associated the second robot" is at best unclear. It appears the word with has been omitted after associated. More to the point however this phrase even if corrected fails to positively correlate the second robot and second detector thus rendering the claim indefinite.

Regarding claim 22, this claim has the same defect as claim 21.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Underwood at telephone number 571-272-6933.

Underwood/vs July 25, 2005 Inland W. Underwood 07/27/05 JUNALD W. UNDERWOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER